(1)

SINGLE BENCH

003

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, AT - BILASPUR C.G.

WRIT PETITION No. 965 /2006

PETITIONER : D.L.MARCKO, AGED ABOUT 49 YRS.
SON OF SHRI LATE CHHOTU SINGH
POSTED AS – ASSTT.GRADE-1
AT – OFFICE OF ASSTT.REG[AUDIT] CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES
TAH & DISTT. – AMBIKAPUR (C.G.)

P.R. No. 920/06
Presented by Shri Aman Keshariwani
dated 20/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH
THROUGH – THE SECRETARY,
CO-OPERATIVE DEPARTMENT,
D.K. S. BHAWAN, RAIPUR[CG]

2. REGISTRAR,
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES,
RAIPUR [CG]

RECEIVED COPY 26-2-06 CLERK H.C. BILASPUR

3. SOMAR SAI S/O NOT KNOWN
AGED ABOUT– 51 YEARS
POSTED AS – ASSTT.GRADE-1
AT – OFFICE OF ASSTT.REG.CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES
TAH & DISTT. – JASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE – 226 & 227 OF CONSTITUTION

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

018

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 965/06 सन् 2006

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक-आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	6-3-2006 Shri R.K. Kesharwani, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sushil Dubey, Govt. Advocate for the State. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed this petition against the order dated 2-2-2006 whereby he has been transferred from Block and District Ambikapur to Jashpur i.e. out of district. Counsel for the State submits that for the present the petitioner may be directed to make a representation before the competent authority of the respondents and if such a representation is submitted, the same shall be decided. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within 15 days from today, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the representation. Learned counsel or the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been relieved and the petitioner may be allowed to continue. It is directed that if the petitioner has not been relieved, he be allowed to continue till the representation is decided. It is made clear that if any grievance remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently M.W.P.No. 747/2006 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

[पीछे

Patel
10/2/10
10/2/10